Rheological properties of sand-foam mixtures used in EPB tunnelling

A first-year report

submitted to

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the faculty of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering

Abstract

The applications of ear-pressure balance tunnel-boring machines (EPB TBMs) have become increasingly popular in recent decades. Meanwhile, soil conditioning agents have been developed to modify the natural ground conditions, making them more readily excavated by EPB TBMs. In soft ground, effective soil conditioning significantly improves the abilities of soils to form a plastic paste flow in the machine. Although soil-conditioning agents are widely used in practice and many studies on conditioned soils have been carried out, the best conditioning treatments for specific soils are still not easy to determine because of the massive affecting factors involved. Apart from the traditional testing methods such as slump tests and shear box tests, a modified rheometer test might provide another effective approach to evaluate the effects of conditioning treatments on excavated soils by investigating the rheological properties of conditioned soils.

This report presents experimental investigations on the basic properties of foams and sand-foam mixtures. The pressure gaps in foam generators as well as foam the concentration and compositions composition of foams have been found to have great impacts on the foam expansion ratio and foam stability of the foams. In addition, the strengthening abilities of conditioned sands to form plastic pastes were observed during slump tests.

For the purpose of extending the application of rheometer tests to coarser soils, modifications on the geometry and technical parameters of the rheometer were proposed. This specially designed rheometer is expected to be used on in studies of the rheological properties of the both conditioned coarse sands and gravelly sands.

* Keywords: EPB tunnelling, soil conditioning, sand-foam mixture, rheometer tests

^{* &}lt;u>Editor's Note</u>: All keywords (or phrases) should appear in the Abstract. The phrase '*EPB tunnelling*' does not occur herein. You should either (1) revise the Abstract to include this phrase or (2) delete the phrase from your keywords list.

Table of contents

Abstract	I
Table of contents	
List of figures	V
List of tables	VIII
Nomenclature	VIIII
CHAPTER ONE	1
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation of for this study	1
1.2 Scope and objectives	3
1.3 Outline of report	3
CHAPTER TWO	5
2. Literature review	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Background of shield tunnelling	5
2.2.1 Development of shield tunnelling	5
2.2.2 Slurry and EPB TBM	6
2.2.3 Applications of EPB T <mark>E</mark> M [Should this be 'B' instead?]	7
2.3 Soil-conditioning in EPB TBM	9
2.3.1 Soil-Conditioning agents	10
2.3.1.1 Bentonite slurry	10
2.3.1.2 Polymers	11
2.3.1.3 Foams	12
2.3.2 Applications of conditioning agents	15
2.3.2.1 Soil conditioning In clayey soils	15
2.3.2.2 Soil conditioning In granular soils	16
2.4 Rheology	17
2.4.1 Rheology Rheological theories	17
2.4.1.1 Viscosity	18

2.4.1.2 Newtonian fluid and Non-Newtonian fluid <mark>s</mark>	19
2.4.2 Testing methods for viscosity	20
2.4.2.1 Viscometers	20
2.4.2.2 Rheometers	21
2.5 Laboratory tests for conditioned soils	22
2.5.1 Foam-index tests testing	22
2.5.1.1 FER and stability	22
2.5.1.2 Bubble sizes and images	24
2.5.2 Tests for conditioned soils	25
2.5.2.1 Slump tests testing	25
2.5.2.2 Shear box tests testing	26
2.5.2.3 Model tests testing	27
2.5.2.4 Rheometer tests testing	28
2.6 Summary	29
CHAPTER THREE	31
3. Index tests testing and apparatus design	31
3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction	31
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 	31 31 31
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 	31 31 31 31
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 	31 31 31 31 31 32
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 	31 31 31 31 31 32 32
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 	31 31 31 31 32 32 32 32 33
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 3.2.3 Foam generator 	31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 33
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 3.2.3 Foam generator 3.2.4 Soil mixer 	31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 33 33
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 3.2.3 Foam generator 3.2.4 Soil mixer 3.2.5 Foam index testing 	31 31 31 31 32 32 32 32 32 33 33 33 34 35
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 3.2.3 Foam generator 3.2.4 Soil mixer 3.2.5 Foam index testing 3.2.5.1 Testing Methods 	31 31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 33 34 35 35
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 3.2.3 Foam generator 3.2.4 Soil mixer 3.2.5 Foam index testing 3.2.5.1 Testing Methods 3.2.5.2 Testing Results 	31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 33 33 33 35 35 35
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.1 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 3.2.3 Foam generator 3.2.4 Soil mixer 3.2.5 Foam index testing 3.2.5.1 Testing Methods 3.2.5.2 Testing Results 3.2.6 Slump tests 	31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 33 33 33 35 35 36 37
 3. Index tests testing and apparatus design 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Index tests 3.2.1 Soils 3.2.2 Soil conditioners 3.2.2.2 Foam agents 3.2.2.2 Polymer additives 3.2.3 Foam generator 3.2.4 Soil mixer 3.2.5 Foam index testing 3.2.5.1 Testing Methods 3.2.5.2 Testing Results 3.2.6 Slump tests 3.3 Design of a proposed soil rheometer 	31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 33 33 34 35 35 36 37 38

3.3.2 Specimen container	39
3.3.3 Torque sensor and stepper motor	40
3.3.4 Overall design	41
3.4 Summary	42
CHAPTER FOUR	43
4. Future work Plan for future work research	43
CHAPTER FIVE	45
5. Conclusion	45
References	47
Tables	50
Figures	57

List of figures

Figure 2.1 Brief structure of slurry TBM	52
Figure 2.2 Brief structure of EPB TBM	52
Figure 2.3 Structure and mechanism of surfactant	52
Figure 2.4 Applications of soil conditioning according to particle size distribution.	53
Figure 2.5 Fluid between parallel plates	53
Figure 2.6 Flow curves for typical fluids	53
Figure 2.7 Glass capillary	54
Figure 2.8 Brookfield RST rheometer	54
Figure 2.9 Apparatus for measuring expansion ratio and stability	55
Figure 2.10 Image of foam bubbles without external pressure	55
Figure 2.11 Image of foam bubbles with constant pressure	55
Figure 2.12 Image of sand-foam mixture	56
Figure 2.13 BT-1600 image particle size analysis analytical system	56
Figure 2.14 Behaviours of conditioned soils in slump tests	57
Figure 2.15 Model of EPB screw conveyor system model	57
Figure 2.16 Structure of soil rheometer	58
Figure 3.1 Grading curve for L1 sands	58
Figure 3.2 Grading curve for L2 sands	59
Figure 3.3 Grading curve for L3 sands	59
Figure 3.4 Laboratory foam generator	60
Figure 3.5 Schematic of Portafoam TM2	60
Figure 3.6 Standard Mortar mixer	61
Figure 3.7 Simple equipment for testing foam stability	61
Figure 3.8 The Influence of pressure differences on FER	62
Figure 3.9 The Influence of pressure differences on foam stability	62
Figure 3.10 Vee Bee consistometer	63
Figure 3.11 Typical slump test result for sand-foam mixtures	63

Figure 3.12 Brief structure of proposed soil rheometer	.64
Figure 3.13 ELE Rowe-type consolidation cell	.64
Figure 3.14 Soil rheometer designed by SolidWorks design of soil rheometer	.65

List of tables

Table 2.1 Geotechnical selection table for EPB TBM	.46
Table 2.2 Choice of foam types according to soil types	.46
Table 2.3 Newtonian, Power Law and Bingham Plastic fluids as special cases of ${f t}$	the
Herschel-Bulkley Model	.47
Table 2.4 Foam index tests (Merritt, 2004)	.47
Table 2.5 Size distribution of the foam	.48
Table 3.1 Sand Characteristic parameters of sands	.48
Table 3.2 Properties of F30 and F40 agents	.48
Table 3.3 Properties of P1 and P2 polymers	.49
Table 3.4 The Effects of pressure differences on foam properties	.50
Table 3.5 The Effects of concentration and foam types on foam properties	.50
Table 3.6 The Geometry of vane	.51
Table 4.1 Working Projected research plan	.51

Nomenclature

α	coefficient depends dependent on the uniformity coefficient of
the soil	
A	the-percentage of soil passing 0.074 mm sieve
A_l	a coefficient of liquid
В	the percentage of soil passing 0.25 mm sieve
B _l	another coefficient of liquid
С	the percentage of soil passing 2.0 mm sieve
C_{c}	the coefficient of curvature
C _u	the uniformity coefficient of the soil
d_{10}, d_{30}, d_{60}	the particle diameter corresponding to 10%, 30%, 60%
	cumulative particle size distribution
D	vane diameter
d	the vane shaft diameter
Ε	activation energy for flow
е	thickness of vane blade
FER	the foam expansion ratio
FIR	the foam injection ratio
G_s	the specific gravity
h	the distance between two parallel plates in viscometer
н	length of vane
К	the consistency coefficient
n	flow property index
Ρ	the absolute pressure
P_{α}	the atmospheric pressure
R	the universal gas constant

S _u	undrained shear strength
Т	temperature
T_m	measured torque on vane
<i>t</i> ₂₅	time for drainage of 25% of foam liquid volume
<i>t</i> ₅₀	time for drainage of 50% of foam liquid volume
V_A	vane area ratio
V_{lpha}	the volume of foam agent
V_f	the volume of foam solution
V_s	the volume of soils to be excavated
W _c	weight of foam
W _{cf}	weight of container and foam
X	concentration of bentonite slurry
σ	shear stress
$\sigma_{_0}$	yield stress
JLI	coefficient of viscosity
r&	the rate of shear deformation
η	apparent viscosity

CHAPTER ONE

1. Introduction

1.1 Motivation of for this study

As With the a rapid worldwide increase of in national economy economies and urbanization all over the world, the exploitation of urban underground space has experienced undergone great development. In particular, the development of underground transportation could can not only relieve the heavy traffic in major cities, but also save many much ground spaces for public infrastructures. The EPB TBM has become the most popular tunnelling equipment for underground constructions because of its efficient boring rate, the relatively small spaces required for installation and operation, and its limited disturbance to existing buildings on the ground.

One of the crucial factors that leading to satisfactory performance of EPB tunnelling is an ideal ground conditions, including high fine contents and great rheological properties to form a soft plastic paste with low permeability. Therefore, soil conditioning aiming to create the ideal properties manually has gained rapid development along with the increasing applications of the EPB TBM. With the help of conditioning agents such as foams, bentonite slurries and polymers, the applications of the EPB TBM has have been extended to coarser soils.

The benefits of successful soil conditioning have been summarised by many authors (Milligan, 2000 and Merritt, 2004), including to include better control of the pressure in the working chamber; a more smoother soil flow through the machine; effective control of groundwater inflows; lower torque and power consumption for the machine; reduced wear of the cutting head; and lower costs for essential maintenance and cleaning.

During an internship in a subway construction field in Chengdu, China, the author observed the significant effects of foams on the properties of gravelly sand ground. Satisfactory excavation rates was were achieved by injecting adequate foam agents according to in accordance with the real-time soil properties. However, the amount of foam and the settings of the operational parameters for foam generation are mainly based on determined by the experience of the driver, which may cause unexpected problems. For example, the one excavation had to be stopped because the wrong amount of foam resulted in clogging of the machine.

Although the importance as well as potential problems of soil conditioning are well known, the massive numerous variables such as geotechnical conditions, different effects of conditioners, and injection systems make it difficult to decide right appropriate conditioning treatments for specific soil. In the literature, the understanding of the effects of soil conditioners on the properties of excavated soils is limited. Moreover, the rheological properties of the excavated soils, as an important factor of in forming plastic soil flows through the EPB TBM, have usually been evaluated by simple slump tests. The continuous ongoing relationship between shear stress and shear rate under the pressure which exists in real tunnelling construction cannot be measured with this traditional method.

Recently, the successful application of a newly designed rheometer in investigating concrete workability (Koehler and Fowler, 2004) provides has provided a new approach to study the rheological properties of coarse materials. In studies of conditioned soils in tunnelling, the rheometer has also been used to determine the viscoplastic parameters of both conditioned sands and clays (Meng *et al.*, 2011 and Messerklinger *et al.*, 2011); and moreover, the data from rheometer tests of clays were have been verified by comparative measurements with a commercially available rheometer. In field constructions, these laboratory experiments experimental data would be serve as good references to decide the best conditioning treatments. Therefore, unnecessary delays and costs could be avoided.

All these reasons have motivated the author to proceed in more laboratory experiments with a rheometer specially developed for coarse soils to investigate the effects of conditioning treatments on the rheological properties of excavated soils. More specifically, sand-foam and gravelly sand-foam mixtures were chosen to be studied because of their popularity in EPB tunnelling.

1.2 Scope and objectives

The primary objective of this study is to investigate the influence of foam on the rheological properties of sand and gravelly sand under pressure with a specially designed rheometer. To achieve this goal, efforts are additional attention is required in regarding the following aspects:

- Index tests on foam and soil-foam mixture, aiming to create a systematic diagram of the effects of different conditioning treatments on specific soil;
- Microscopic study on the foam and soil-foam mixture which helps to facilitate an understanding of the underlying mechanism of using foam as a conditioner.
- Development of a soil rheometer that is able to investigate rheological properties of coarse soils (sands and gravelly sands).

1.3 Outline of report

This report presents the work<mark>s</mark> that the author has done in the first year of PhD study. The contents of each chapter are briefly described below.

Chapter one introduces the original motivation of this study and the objectives determined by the author.

Chapter two provides a review into of the development of soil conditioning used in EPB tunnelling as well as the current laboratory testing methods for conditioned soils.

Chapter three presents the laboratory experiments that have been done by the author, including foam index tests and slump tests, and for which the results are discussed. In addition, the design of the soil rheometer is showed illustrated in this chapter.

Chapter four gives projects the future plan for the remaining time of devoted toward completion of the PhD, and Chapter five presents a conclusion for the work of accomplished in the first year.

CHAPTER TWO

2. Literature review

2.1 Introduction

The EPB TBM could can be considered the most commonly used tunnelling equipment for tunnelling in soils ranging from coarse sands and gravels to stiff clays, especially in urban areas. This increasing application^g if of the EPB TBM thank can be attributed to not only the improvements of in mechanical technology but also the widely wider used use of soil conditioners. This chapter introduces the general background of the EPB tunnelling, the most used soil conditioners and their applications in tunnelling. Furthermore The importance of the rheological properties of the conditioned soils for achieving optimum EPB performance is also discussed. Laboratory testing methods for testing of conditioned soil properties are reviewed and some typical tests in recent years, as well as their results, are discussed.

2.2 Background of shield tunnelling

It is generally realised recognised that there is an increasing need for the utilisation of underground space which to provides new approaches to infrastructures such as public service networks (pipelines, cables and drainage systems) as well as roads and railways. However, difficulties like such as heavy traffic, existing buildings, complex ground conditions and high surface disruptions caused by underground construction in urban areas might make it unfavourable for conventional methods such as open excavation, and drilling and blasting (Psomas, 2001). Therefore, a rapid growth in the use of mechanised tunnelling has been marked observed as an important improvement in recent decades.

2.2.1 Development of shield tunnelling

Inspired from by the decay process caused by maggots of boat's in the hull of a boat caused by maggots, the first idea of for a shield-tunnelling machine was developed

by Marc Isambard Brunel in 1818, and it was being used to excavate the Thames Tunnel in 1825. Despite its successful application, the first shield machine was not actually a TBM in the true sense. It Brunel's machine was only invented only to create a groove space around the face of the tunnel; thus, and further standard excavation methods like such as explosives or wedges were still required to loosen the remaining core. In the 1960s, the introduction of the slurry TBM brought achieved a solution to the problem of an excessive groundwater level. The use of a bentoniteslurry in the slurry TBM could can not only support the face, but also help facilitate the removal process of for excavated soils. A few years later, difficulties occurred when the Japanese wanted to build tunnels in big cities. A method of tunnelling which could capable of being applied to soft grounds soil below the groundwater table, with minimum disturbance, was required. Therefore, the EPB TBM was developed to fulfill all those the aforementioned requirements. Up to now Thus far, various kinds of TBM have been improved in different ways. For example, the largest TBM in the world, called Bertha, has a diameter of 17.5 meters.

2.2.2 Slurry and EPB TBM

The slurry TBM is a specific kind of TBM, which is type usually used for digging in soft ground soil with containing a large amount of groundwater. A brief The structure of a slurry TBM is shown briefly illustrated in Figure 2.1. The front part of it portion is filled with excavated soils, with injections of bentonite slurry and air. The Pressure within those the air bubbles are is required to provide better control of the pressure fluctuation therein, and the addition of bentonite slurry leads to effective control of face stability control and waterproofing. One of the most important features that make differentiating the slurry TBM different from any other kind of TBM is the requirement of a large slurry separation system required on the ground surface, in order to separate spoils from the slurry in the extraction line so it could can be recycled back into the tunnel. Therefore, it is noted by Herrenknecht (2004) noted that the particle size must be considered before using a slurry TBM. The process of separating fine materials in the excavated soils from the soil a solury TBM. The process of separating fine materials in the excavated soils from the solury the soils from the solury the sol

The EPB TBM is normally suitable for excavations in unstable soft soils with a reasonably high fines content. A brief The structure of the EPB TBM is shown briefly illustrated in Figure 2.2. The cutter head consists of a rotating disc armed with massive cutting tools and several slits on cutter arms, which allowing the excavated materials to enter the working chamber, The excavated materials where they are collected, and compressed in the working chamber and removed by a screw conveyor. The EPB TBM aims to maintain a pressure in the working chamber equal to or greater than that of the groundwater and earth pressures at the cutting face. A stable pressure is sustained with the help of the screw conveyor, which extrudes a moderate volume of spoils to balance the amount of soils entering the working chamber. Compared with the slurry TBM, the EPB TBM mainly benefits from the simple process of the separation of the spoils. A large separation plant is not necessary since most of the additives are air and water, This makes thereby rendering the EPB TBM more suitable in urban areas because it is often of the frequent difficulty to in decide determining an appropriate plant layout. In addition, better overall production rates, lower capital cost, a smaller launch shaft and lower consumption of additives could can also be achieved by the EPB TBM. There has therefore been a continuous trend toward extending the use of EPB TEM usage to most types of soft ground. <u>Editor's Note</u>: What does the acronym 'TEM' designate? Identify here at its first occurrence in the main text. Or is this a typographical error?

2.2.3 Applications of EPB TBM [Compare with the 'Table of Contents' entry.]

Since the support medium of the EPB TBM is the excavated material itself, whether the balance between the pressure in the working chamber and the earth pressure could can be maintained depends mainly on the properties of the excavated soils. In order to achieve an optimum performance with the EPB TBM, the excavated soils must form a plastic mass of with appropriate flow characteristics, permeability, compressibility and low inner friction, which allow a well-controlled pressure dissipation from the working chamber to the ground surface through the screw conveyor. However, since natural soils do not often have all those these ideal properties, many difficulties might be encountered during excavations under complex geological conditions.

In clayey soils, the transformation process of the natural ground soils from a stiff to a soft consistency usually could cannot prevent occur without the addition of a large amount of water, which might make render the soils sticky and lead to serious clogging problems. For example, opening sections of the cutting head being could be plugged and steel surfaces being be covered by the excavated soils, resulting in a delay of in the advance rate and extra costs for essential cleaning works cleansing (Zumsteg *et al*, 2013).

In coarse sandy and gravelly soils, the main concern is whether the excavated soils could can be effectively impermeable and of great plastic consistency, properties which help facilitate to remove smooth removal of the spoils through the screw conveyor smoothly without groundwater inflow. The water pressure could cannot be resisted if the soil is too permeable. Milligan (2000) suggested that a coefficient of permeability of less than 10^{-6} to 10^{-5} m/s was is required for satisfactory performance of the EPB TBM.

However, in consideration of the many advantages of the EPB tunnelling, excavated soils are conditioned with additives such as foam, polymer and bentonite slurry to fit EPB_TBM rather than limit the EPB TBM to natural soils. A dramastic dramatic extension of use of the EPB TBM usage could be is found from in the research results of reported in the Japanese Society of Civil Enginners Engineers Standard Specification for Tunnels (Kusakabe *et al*, 1999), which is simply reproduced in Table 2.1. Originally the EPB TBM only fits only in relatively soft and fine-grained soils, but it could can even work on gravel with boulders with the help of the additives.

2.3 Soil conditioning in EPB TBM

Soil conditioners are normally injected at the cutterhead, into the working chamber or along the screw conveyor. The aim of adding them their addition is to modify the properties of excavated soils to optimise the performance of the EPB TBM. Conditioners such as water, foams, polymers and bentonite slurries could can be used separately or in combination, and their performances with excavated soils being dependent on many factors.

One of the most important factors affecting the properties of the soil-soil conditioners mixture is the ground conditions, such as particle size distribution, water table level, permeability and plasticity (Merritt, 2004). Those These factors should be carefully considered before deciding which conditioner to use. Many commercial products are available as soil-conditioning agents, with detailed instructions which suggests suggesting the appropriate concentration, the injection ratio and, for foams, the expansion ratio for use in different ground conditions.

However, the same type of commercial products from two separate suppliers may have more or less fewer different effects on the excavated soils due to differences in components or producing production processes. Since the components of the conditioning agents are usually kept preserved as trade secrets, the choice of soil conditioners also becomes a factor affecting the mixture's properties of a mixture.

Another factor is the soil-conditioner injection system within the EPB TBM, This which includes a pressurised tank for storing soil-conditioning agents, air lines for applying compressed air, an adjusting device for controlling the flow rate of the soil conditioners and several injecting injection points in the machine. Both the air pressure applying applied to the conditioning agents and the flow rate of the soil conditioner have great remarkable impact on the properties of the soil conditioner, thus the properties of the soil-soil conditioner mixture as well.

2.3.1 Soil-conditioning agents

Because of the great remarkable affects of the soil conditioners on the properties of excavated soils, there are a lot of many commercially available products such as foam, polymer, bentonite slurry and dispersant exist. In order to limit the costs of the a project, new conditioning agents are also made formulated by the construction party according to the results from field experiments. In this paper report, the basic concepts and properties of bentonite slurry, polymers and foam are discussed below.

2.3.1.1 Bentonite slurry

As one of the most traditional soil conditioners used in EPB tunnelling, bentonite slurry has been widely employed used wildly in underground construction; and moreover, its properties have been discussed by many authors (Jefferis, 1992; Milligan, 2000; EFNARC, 2005). Bentonite is a processed form of a particular natural clay mineral, basically potassium, calcium and sodium montmorillonite. Because of the chemistry and structure of the montmorillonite clay particles, they are able to capable of holding up to ten times their dry volume after absorbing water. In particular, due to its smaller and thinner particle size and weaker bonding between clay sheets, sodium montmorillonite has a greater ability of to absorb water absorption than calcium montmorillonite due to its smaller and thinner particle size and thinner particle size and weaker bonding between clay sheets.

Bentonite slurries are made produced by dispersing montmorillonite in water. A high shear mixer is needed to ensure sufficient dispersion and hydration of clay particles. In granular soils, a low-permeability filter cake could can be formed at the cutting head of the EPB TBM by injecting bentonite slurries. The form of the filter cake could can not only help to improve the control of groundwater inflow and face support, but also reduce the torque of the cutting head and screw conveyor. In addition, foams or small quantities of polymers are often added to enhance the properties of bentonite slurries. When For the adding bentonite slurry is added to the working chamber of the EPB TBM to increase the plasticity of the granular soils, the required requisite amount of bentonite slurry is suggested has been formulated by Kusakabe *et al.* (1999):

$$X = a(30 - A)2.0 + (40 - B)0.5 + (60 - C)0.2$$
 Eqn 2.1

where X is the concentration of the bentonite slurry; and a, is a coefficient depends dependent on the uniformity coefficient of the soil (C_u): a = 1.0 when $C_u > 4$; a = 1.1 when $4 > C_u > 3$; a = 1.2 when $3 > C_u > 1$. A represents the percentage of soil passing through a 0.074mm sieve; B, represents the percentage of soil passing through a 0.25mm sieve; and C, is the percentage of soil passing through a 2.0mm sieve. The minimum values of the expressions within the brackets are zero.

2.3.1.2 Polymers

Polymers are macromolecules consisting of large numbers quantities of repeating recurring simple molecules linked by a non-covalent bond to a long chain. Homopolymers include only one single kind of monomer; whereas, at least two kinds of monomer are included in copolymers. The properties of polymer and its impact on excavated soils depend on their composition and structure. * Many kinds of polymers have found applications in EPB tunnelling, such as starches, sugars, celluloses and proteins, which are all natural polymers, and as well as artificial polymers like such as polyacrylamides, polyacrylates and polyacrylamides (PA) have been applied in EPB tunnelling. Particularly, PA and their derivatives are among the most commonly used polymers in tunnelling.

*The highlighted sentence is problematic due to its ambiguity. Do you mean 'a polymer and **its** impact'? If so, then '**their** composition and structure' <u>must</u> refer to '**soils**'. However, I think you mean 'The properties of polymers and its their impact on excavated soils depend on their composition and structure'. The problem is one of agreement between a pronoun and its referent with regard to number, with '**their** composition and structure' [more likely] referring to 'polymers' [plural]. The most important factor determining the properties of polymers is molecular weight. PA polymers of high molecular weight (> 10^7 g/mol) act as flocculants, helping facilitating the separation process of solids from liquids. PA polymers of low molecular weight (<300,000g/mol) are used as dispersants, which are able to increase the negative surface charge on solid particles and reduce the tendency of particles to flocculate. As a result, solid particles could can maintain a dispersed structure of low viscosity (Milligan, 2000).

The influence of polymers as soil-conditioning agents used in tunnelling have has been reported in many studies (Lambe, 1953; Jefferis, 1995; Leinala *et al.*, 2002). It is believed that polymers could can help to form a plastic paste and coat the surface of clay particles. The improved plasticity and structure of excavated soils will lead to better control of the flow of soils through the working chamber and screw conveyor, lower decrease the torque at the cutting head and screw conveyor, and lower reduce the chance of clogging.

2.3.1.3 Foams

Foam is a mixture in a liquid phase, consisting of a water-based surfactant solution, compressed air (>90%), water and some certain additives. As illustrated in Figure 2.3, surfactant molecules include a hydrophobic chain and a hydrophilic end, which could can have possess anionic, cationic, non-ionic or amphoteric charge properties. Foams are created with the help of surfactants which adsorb at the air-water interface to reduce the surface tension and stabilise the liquid films between bubbles. The properties of foams are related to the solution chemistry, concentration, foam expansion ratio (FER), foam injection ratio (FIR), and the stability of foams.

Foam concentration represents the percentage of foaming agents in conditioning solutions, a higher concentration of which could can result in a higher FER and more stable foams. A concentration of only 1% to 3% is usually suggested by foam agent suppliers to achieve a satisfactory performance. Therefore, even concentrated foam

agents are expensive, the costs of which might be quite modest compared with using more expensive polymers or a large amount of bentonite slurries. The concentration of foams strongly depends on the volume of water in the soils, to which further considerations should be given according to specific ground conditions.

The Density of foam is an important parameter affecting the applications of foam. In soil conditioning, it density is measured in another way manner: the FER, which is calculated by

$$FER = \frac{V_a}{V_f} \times 100\%$$
 Eqn 2.2

where V_a is the volume of foam agent and V_f is the volume of foam solution. It is noted by EFNARC [the European Federation for Specialist Construction Chemicals and Concrete Systems] (2005) noted that the FER should be between 5 to and 30, which will be is suitable for EPB tunnelling. However, specific ground conditions needs require specific soil-conditioning treatments; e.g., the wetter a soil, the higher greater the requisite FER is required. Since the foam generation process in the EPB TBM is occurs under pressurised conditions, the compressibility of the foam should be considered. By considering the fact that foam consists of more than 90% of air, an ideal gas law could can be applied to calculate its volume the change in volume with under pressure. Therefore, the FER at absolute pressure P is calculated by

$$FER_a = \frac{P_a}{P} \times (FER - 1) + 1$$
 Eqn 2.3

where FER_a is the foam expansion ratio at atmospheric pressure P_a ; and *FER*, is the foam expansion ratio at the absolute pressure P. As verified by a foam compression test (Quebaud et al., 1998), the test results well fitted this theoretical equation well. The volume of foam required for conditioning is measured by the FIR, which is calculated by

$$FIR = \frac{V_f}{V_s} \times 100\%$$
 Eqn 2.4

where V_f is the volume of foam at working pressure and V_s , is the volume of soils to be excavated. An FIR around 30% - 60% is suggested in most cases (EFNARC, 2005). Laboratory tests or in situ tests are needed to determine the best value of the FIR during every project. In the literature, Kusakabe *et al.* (1999) proposed an empirical equation to decide determine the required requisite FIR:

$$FIR = \frac{a}{2} [(60 - 4.0A^{0.8}) + (80 - 3.3B^{0.8}) + (90 - 2.7C^{0.8})]$$
 Eqn 2.5

where *a* is a coefficient depends dependent on the uniformity coefficient of the soil (C_u) : *a* = 1.0 when C_u >15; *a* = 1.2 when 15> C_u >4; *a* = 1.6 when 4> C_u . The terms *A*, *B* and *C* represent the same values as *A*, *B* and *C* in Equation 2.1.

Foams are expected to improve the properties of excavated soils during the whole entire process, from mixing in the working chamber to extruding by the screw conveyor. Rapid degradation or breakdown of the foam will lead to a loss of face support pressure. As a consequence, the stability of a foam-soil mixture is important for persisting maintaining the properties of conditioned soil over time. The foam stability of foam is evaluated by its half-life time. It is has been noted that when the foam is mixed with soils, the half-life time of foam is much more longer than that of the foam alone; and moreover, some foam-soil mixtures could can keep remain stable for even a couple of days (Babendererde, 1998). Foam stability is affected by many factors such as the size and uniformity of the bubbles, the confining pressure and temperature.

Recent research by Merritt (2004), Peña Duarte (2007), Zumsteg et al. (2013) and Gharahbagh et al. (2014) on foamed soils showed demonstrated that foam could can act as an effective conditioner with various types of soil. In clayey soils, foam is used to prevent excessive water absorption as well as reduce recompaction and soil stickiness therein, resulting in lower torques and fewer possibilities of for clogging problems. In granular soils, foam could can provide the excavated soils a proper plastic consistency, lower inner friction and greater compressibility. In addition, reduced permeability is also thought to be achieved with the help of the foams. In hard rock tunnelling, foam acts functions as a dust-suppressant agent and helps with reducing reduce machine wear.

The choice of foam types and polymer additives depends on the types of the soils to be excavated. A general guideline is has been provided by EFNARC (2005). As shown listed in Table 2.2, A is the foam which has exhibiting the greatest dispersing and coating abilities; B represents a general type of foam with medium stability; and whereas, foam type C should has have high stability and anti-segregation properties to sustain impermeability. It is easy to notice obvious that difficulties in forming satisfactory plastic paste increase when the soils become coarser.

2.3.2 Applications of conditioning agents

The efficiency of soil conditioning in the EPB TBM is influenced by comprehensive factors. However, investigations into to the effects of soil conditioners on the properties of soils in EPB tunnelling are have been limited. Most applications of soil conditioners are based on trial and error, which could can cause result in poor efficiency and extra costs. Applications of soil conditioning in granular soils and clayey soils are briefly discussed in this section.

2.3.2.1 Soil conditioning In clayey soils

Since most clayey soils have low permeability, which could can lead to better control of groundwater pressure, only a small amount of soil conditioning are is needed to achieve ideal soil properties. However, high plasticity might also cause serious problems during EPB tunnelling. Soils tend to adhere to the machine surface, and resulting in clogging the openings apertures of the working chamber. The best conditioning treatment suggested by Milligan (2000) is to use foam that could can coat the clay particles in order to form spoils which could capable of being extruded without recompaction. Using foam as the soil conditioner in clayey soils benefits from the small amount of water required to be injected into the soils, also as well as the great compressibility of the bubble-clay structure.

There are Many factors that affect the efficiency of soil conditioning in clayey soils. Considerations should be given to the water content, liquidity index as well as soil compositions of the soil material to be excavated (Steiner, 1996). In addition, factors such as temperature and confining pressure might also have impacts on conditioned soils.

2.3.2.2 Soil conditioning In granular soils

The EPB TBM could can work quite efficiently in the excavation of soft soils including those with high fine contents and proper appropriate water pressures. However, problems would will be encountered when the ground conditions is are not that as ideal. The main objective of for adding soil conditioners to granular soils is to achieve satisfactory plasticity, low permeability as well as reduced wear.

The Conditioning treatments for EPB TBMs with different soil gradings are have been suggested by Maidl *et al.* (1996), which are as shown graphed in Figure 2.4. For the soils of having grading curves above line 1, a small amount of water, bentonite, polymers or foams could help the forming formation of plastic paste; for the soils of with gradings between lines 1 and 2, the choice of conditioning treatment should mainly depends on the permeability and groundwater level; for the soils with gradings between lines 2 and 3, high-density bentonite slurry or foam-polymer conditioning treatments are suggested advised; and for those with gradings below line 3, EPB machines are not recommended unless special conditioners are used, and with obligatory essential in-situ experiments are required.

Recommendations for the applications of the commercially available agents are provided by suppliers. Different foam agents as well as various stabilisers are available for conditioning in different ground conditions. For example, MasterRoc SLF 30 from BASF is generally suggested to for use in soft-ground tunneling; while whereas, polymer-reinforced MasterRoc SLF 41 is specially designed for silt-to-sand soils with high water content, and the MasterRoc SLP polymer series are used to enhance the performance of MasterRoc SLF foam products in difficult ground conditions (BASF, 2013).

2.4 Rheology

Rheology is defined as the study of the flow properties and deformation of materials. The flow properties of liquids play an important role in our daily life, from the viscosity of the blood flowing in our bodies the vascular system, to the patrol petrol pouring into care automotive engines. In EPB tunnelling, the soil flow in EPB TBMs exhibits the properties of viscoplastic fluids (Day and Holmgren, 1952; Ghavami *et al.,* 1974; Ghezzehei and Or, 2001); and moreover, the application of soil conditioners is significantly influenced by the flow properties of the excavated soils (Millgan, 2000). Therefore, to qualifying the plastic-flow characteristic of the conditioned soils could become an alternative approach for investigating the efficiency of soil conditioners.

2.4.1 Rheology Rheological theories

Rheology is well established as the behavioural science of the behaviour in which materials respond to applied stress or strain. Basically Essentially, all materials have rheological properties, including those in the fields of geology and mining (Cristescu, 1989), concrete technology (Tattersall and Banfill, 1983) and soil mechanics (Haghighi

2.4.1.1 Viscosity

Viscosity is a measure of it's a fluid's resistance to deformation, which could can be simply understood as the relationship between shear stress and shear rate. As shown illustrated in Figure 2.5, an idealised situation is assumed that wherein a layer of fluid is lies between two parallel plates. The bottom plate is fixed, while the top one is moving towards x_1 direction at a constant speed u. The shear stress σ is calculated by

$$\sigma = \mu u / h$$
 Eqn 2.6

where μ is the coefficient of viscosity; and h, is the distance between the two plates. The ratio μ/h is called the rate of shear deformation or simply shear rate and is often used expressed as \Re .

The viscosity of most simple liquids decreases with an increase in temperature due to the increased Brownian motion of their the constituent molecules. One of the most widely-adapted mathematical expressions of the effects of temperature on viscosity is given was formulated by Andrade (1934):

$$\log_{10} \mu = A_l + B_l / T \qquad \qquad \text{Eqn 2.7}$$

where *T* is the temperature (°C + 273.15); A_l , is a the coefficient of a liquid; and moreover, B_l could can be replaced by *E/R*, where *E* is the activation energy for flow and *R*, is the universal gas constant.

Furthermore, the viscosity increases when the applied pressure increases. The two

main principal features of the viscosity of most single-phase liquids with an increase in pressure are were summarised by Barnes (2000). The viscosity approximately doubles when the pressure increases from atmospheric pressure to 1000 bar. However, the this increase in viscosity becomes much faster when the pressure exceeds 1000 bar, the viscosity might perhaps increase increasing tenfold at around approximately 2000 bar and 100-fold at 4000 bar might be 100-fold. In EPB tunneling, the pressure in the working chamber is in the range of 100-400 kPa, and where the viscosity of the excavated materials is believed to increase linearly with increasing pressure.

2.4.1.2 Newtonian fluid and Non-Newtonian fluids

Newtonian fluids are those for in which the viscosity is independent of the shear rate; and whereas, all fluids do not exhibiting this behaviour are called Non-Newtonian fluid. In order to investigate the flow behaviours of different fluids, flow curves which show graph the relationship between shear stress and shear rate are drawn and analysed.

The flow curves of four typical time-independent fluids as well as Newtonian fluids are shown graphed in Figure 2.6. Newtonian fluids have a linear relationship between the shear stress and the shear rate. For Non-Newtonian fluids, the Herschel-Bulkley model is used to describe all their behaviours in general:

$$\sigma = K(\mathbf{A})^n + \sigma_0$$
 Eqn 2.8

where K is the consistency coefficient; n, is the flow property index and σ_0 , is the yield stress. The values of n and σ_0 for different fluids are listed in Table 2.3. An important feature of the Herschel-Bulkley and Bingham plastic model is the yield stress which is associated with the force required to initiate flow. The phenomenon of yield stress is often observed in multiphase fluids such as excavated soils in EPB

tunnelling. Particularly, the interaction between sand particles in a sand-foam mixture could can form a three-dimensional network structure to resist flow at low stress.

Apparent viscosity (γ) is defined as shear stress divided by shear rate, it is being a measurement for the flow behaviours of the Non-Newtonian fluids. For the general Herschel-Bulkley model, the apparent viscosity is determined by

$$\eta = f(\dot{\gamma}) = \frac{K(\dot{\gamma}) + \sigma_0}{\dot{\chi}} = K(\dot{\gamma})^{n-1} + \frac{\sigma_0}{\dot{\chi}}$$
 Eqn 2.9

2.4.2 Testing methods for viscosity

The viscosity of a material is often measured by a viscometer or a rheometer in laboratory research. The viscometer is capable of measuring rheological properties of under simple flow conditions; whereas, the rheometer could can provide a greater characterisation of flow and deformation behaviours.

2.4.2.1 Viscometers

Tube viscometer and rotational viscometers are the most used types of viscometer. Both of Them could offer the advantages of the simplicity of use. One of the basic tube viscometers is the glass capillary (Figure 2.7), usually called the U-tube viscometer. The flow in the U-tube is occurs because of gravity, and wherein the viscosity is calculated from the time that a certain volume of fluid flows from the upper etched line to the lower of the etched lines. There is also a pressure-driven type viscometer in which a pump or gas system is used to create the driving force. Tube viscometers are used for research on time-independent fluids; while whereas, rotational viscometers focus on time-dependent fluids. Rotational viscometers are designed based on the basis of the idea that the torque required to rotate a disk or bob in a fluid is a function of viscosity. Traditional rotational viscometers include parallel plates as well as cone-and-plate and concentric-cylinder types, and all of them which could can measure the viscosity over a period of time.

2.4.2.2 Rheometers

Rheometers are used for investigations on those investigating fluids that could cannot be defined by a single value of viscosity and but need require consideration of more additional parameters to be considered. Various commercial products are available, and one of them which is shown exemplified in Figure 2.8 as an example. This Brookfield RST rheometer could can control the applied shear stress or shear rate and record the responding torques. A lot of Many accessories such as cone-andplate spindle, parallel-plates spindle, coaxial cylinder and vane spindles, and as well as water jackets for temperature control, are available according to the specific research needs of study, and for which the measurable max shear stress varies from 177Pa to 69.6kPa. Commercially available rheometers are designed to do in accordance with most comprehensive rheological testing capabilities for single-point viscosity as well as flow-curve analysis. However, in the case of tunnelling, most laboratory rheometers cannot be used without modification due to the large particle size of the conditioned soils in EPB tunnelling and the complex conditions in the machines.

Many efforts have been made undertaken to develop a new device for investigating the rheological properties of materials with large particle sizes. As the yield stress and workability of concrete are also of great practical interest^g in practice for pumping, mixing, casting and transportation, the ICAR portable concrete rheometer is was developed by Koehler and Fowler (2014). This rheometer device is a controlled-shear rate rheometer that could capable of be applied to provide providing flow curves or conducting stress growth tests. A four-blade vane impeller is chosen after comparing ⁶ six potential impeller types, including vanes, eggbeaters, half-eggbeaters, offset-eggbeaters, joint compound paddles and spirals. It is indicated that Reportedly, the vane impeller could can not only engage the flow of surrounding materials with favourable flow patterns, but also minimise the wall effect.

Inspired from by the successful application of the rheometer in to concrete workability, researchers (Meng *et al.*, 2011, and Messerklinger *et al.*, 2011, respectively) have developed modified rheometers used for investigating the effects of foam on sands and clays under pressurised conditions have been developed by Meng *et al.* (2011) and Messerklinger *et al.* (2011) respectively. Details of their designs and testing results will be discussed in section 2.5.2.4.

2.5 Laboratory tests for conditioned soils

As a result of those Due to the advantages of using foams as conditioning agents in EPB tunnelling, various testing methods have been developed to assess the properties of foamed-soil mixtures. However, due to because of the massive numerous commercially available foam agents and many influencing factors involved, it is difficult to specify the particular conditioning treatment for a specific soil; and furthermore, none of those testing methods has yet been standardised yet. Some of the popular testing methods for foamed soils as well as foam are discussed below.

2.5.1 Foam-index tests testing

Index tests of foam agents could can not only help to compare the basic properties of different foam solutions but also provide the first initial criteria for determining soil conditioning treatments. Some standard testing methods have been proposed by EFNARC (2005).

2.5.1.1 FER and stability

The expansion ratio of foam and stability of foam are generally tested based on the basis of methods described in the Ministry of Defence Standard (1998). A cylindrical steel container of 1600ml volume is used, with a drainage hole of 1.6mm in diameter at its conical base, as shown illustrated in Figure 2.9, is used. Freshly produced foams

are collected to fulfill fill the container before measuring and the weight of foam and container is measured. By weighing the empty container, the weight of foam could can subsequently be measured. When assuming that the density of the foam solution is 1.0g/cm³, the initial volume of the foam agents could can be calculated by

$$FER = \frac{V_f}{W_{cf} - W_c}$$
 Eqn 2.10

where V_f is the volume of the foam, it which is 1600ml in this case. The term W_{cf} is designates the weight of the container and plus foam; and W_c , is the weight of the empty container. A container with calibrations is placed beneath the outlet of the foam-filled container. The volume of the liquid draining form from the full container is recorded over time; and moreover, the drainage time for 25% (t_{25}) and 50% (t_{50}) of the volume of the original foam solution volume are is used to evaluate the stability of the foam. Note: 'Ministry of Defence' of WHAT country? You need to specify: '(Name of Country) Ministry of Defence' here and in the Reference list.

Various commercial products were have been tested at different concentrations by many authors several researchers (Psomas, 2001; Merritt, 2004; Peña Duarte, 2007). and The FER and stability for **7** seven foam agents are shown listed in Table 2.4. It is has been found that the basic properties of different foam agents vary a lot considerably; but however, there are several underlying principles that could can be applied to all types of foam. The flow rate of air mixed with foam agents has an influence on the FER and drainage time. A higher flow rate means that a higher volume of air is injected into the foam agents, thus leading to a higher FER and a longer drainage time. However, there should be a maximum volume of air that could can be sustained within the air bubbles. Once the maximum value is exceeded, the foam might become unstable. On the other hand Contrariwise, the FER and drainage time are also significantly affected by foam the concentration of the foam significantly. Both the FER and the drainage time increase with the increase in foam concentration. It should be remembered that the increase in FER is not linear with the increase of in foam concentration; thus, whether to increase this foam concentration or to increase the foam injection ratio should be considered to limit project costs.

As mentioned before earlier, a foam could can maintain its structure much more longer when mixed with soils than that of an unmixed foam one alone; therefore, a testing method for the stability of a sand-foam mixture is has been proposed by EFNARC (2005). A certain volume of fresh sand-foam mixture is collected in a perforated glass/plastic cylinder, and the volume of the mixture is recorded on a daily or half-daily basis for up to 2 three days. Since the whole entire testing process is not under pressurised conditions, the results should only be used for comparative purposes only.

2.5.1.2 Bubble sizes and images

One of the factors that affecting the stability of foam is the bubble size, it which could can act as perform an important role in the behaviour of a sand-foam mixture under shear stress (Peña Duarte, 2007). It is Langmaack (2000) suggested concluded that uniformly sized bubbles with diameters less than 1mm are suitable for stability (Langmaack, 2000). Foams of diameters ranging from 0.5mm to 2mm are often produced in the EPB TBM; moreover, in laboratory laboratories, different various attempts have been made to observe the sizes of foams [Citation?].

Bubble images of foams, both without external pressure and under constant pressure, have-been were taken obtained by Peña Duarte (2007), The foam who used is Versa foam, which is a commercially available product. The first image, which is shown in Figure 2.10, is was taken by a Nikon D1X digital camera, with the foam being exposed to free air. As shown depicted in Figure 2.11, another attempt was made to take capture an image on of the pressurised foam between two acrylic platens with by using a Sony DKC-ID1 digital camera. It is observed should be noted

that the max diameter of the bubbles is 0.5 mm in the first attempt, but 1 mm in the second attempt and the max diameter in the second attempt is 1 mm due to the compressing effects compression of resulting from applied pressure. Picture A photograph of a sand-foam mixture have was also been taken, which is as shown in Figure 2.12; however, the boundaries between foams and sands could are not be clearly identified identifiable therein.

With the application of a more advanced BT-1600 image particle analysis system, Liu (2012) and Yang and Wang (2012) have obtained more detailed and clear images of another type of foam as well as the size distribution of the bubbles. As shown depicted in Figure 2.13, this their system consists of an optical microscope, a digital CCD camera, a computer with image processing software and a printer. According to Table 2.5, the diameters of most bubbles are in the range of 68.3 μ m to 115 μ m.

2.5.2 Tests for conditioned soils

Many laboratory testing methods could can be carried out implemented to investigate the properties of conditioned soils. Milligan (2000) has discussed the advantages of the most commonly applied methods, such as mixing tests, cone-penetration tests, slump tests, shear-box tests and vane-shear tests. For the purpose of simulating the real actual working conditions of the EPB TBM, large-scale screw-conveyor model tests has have also been developed and used in several studies. As Since this the present research focuses on the rheological properties of sand-foam mixtures, only those methods for investigating the flow abilities of the sand-foam such mixtures are discussed below.

2.5.2.1 Slump tests testing

Slump tests are were originally developed for measuring the workability of fresh concrete, and it has been being predominately used for more than 80 years. Because of its their simplicity and economy, most recent studies on the flow properties of the

conditioned soils are have been based on slump tests (Quebaud *et al.,* 1998; Peila *et al.,* 2009; Thewes and Budach, 2010), following in accordance with the Standard Test Method for Slump in ASTM 143C.* In For EPB tunnelling, Quebaud *et al.* (1998) suggest found that a minimum slump value of 12 cm is needed to form an proper appropriate plastic flow through the EPB TBM. * Citation & Reference? Check title for missing word(s).

Soil behaviours with different FIRs and water contents were summarised analysed by Peila *et al.* (2009), as shown depicted in Figure 2.14. According to Peila *et al.* their findings, suitable conditioned soil should have a slump value within the 15-20cm range, with a water content from 3% to 18% and a FIR within the range of 20%-50%. Their results are quite close to the suitable slump values (>12cm) mentioned obtained by Milligan (2000) as well as the optimum FIR values (20%-40%) suggested specified by EFNARC (2005). In addition, because of the excavation process often lasts hours under at different temperatures, the influences of time and temperature on conditioned soils are also investigated with slump tests. It is found has been discovered that high temperatures and longer processing times could can reduce the slump value, possibly due to the faster breakdown process of in the sand-foam matrix.

Slump testing could can provide a good indicator of the overall properties of the conditioned soils; thus, it is preferable to use it at for field usage to keep maintain continuous quality control. However, slump testing is viewed as considered incapable of providing adequate information of on the flow properties of the conditioned soils in laboratory research.

2.5.2.2 Shear-box tests testing

In EPB tunnelling, the a reduction of in the shear strength of the excavated soils could can lead to a decrease in the torque required to rotate the cutting tools. Thus, the shear strength is of great importance in investigating the effects of soil conditioners on excavated soils.
The Shear-box tests testing is one of the most fundamental methods to investigate for investigating the relationship of between shear stress and shear rate as well as determine determining the angle of shearing resistance. Shear-box tests on conditioned sands were presented conducted by Psomas (2001) and Peña (2007). The results showed indicated that the application of foam reduced the shear strength of the a sand-foam mixture, and the an increase of in the FIR resulted in reduced shear strength.

However, the continuous continuing relationships between shear strength and shear rate could cannot be investigated. Therefore, the at-large residual strength at large strains strength-and-rate relationships such as those existing in the EPB TBM.

2.5.2.3 Model tests testing

In order to simulate the pressurised conditions in the EPB TBM, model tests with a screw conveyor in the EPB machine are were developed by Merritt *et al.* (2004), Vinai *et al.* (2006), and Peña (2007). The screw conveyor is one of the most important parts in the EPB TBM, controlling the flow rate of the excavated soils and sustaining the pressure balance within the working chamber. A better An enhanced understanding of the screw conveyor could can provide guidance for conditioning treatments to optimise EBP performance.

The 1:10 scale of a normal EPB screw conveyor system model developed in the research of conducted by Merritt (2004) and Peña (2007) is shown illustrated in Figure 2.15. This system mainly consists mainly of a container filled with testing soils for testing, a horizontally assembled screw conveyor and a variable-speed electric motor. In addition, some extensive instrumentations are were applied to investigate the performance of the screw conveyor. Two Cambridge-type load cells and one a single-pore water-pressure transducer are were installed along the screw conveyor in order to study the normal stresses--and both shear stresses and others--acting on

the conveyor casing as well as the pressure fluctuations within the casing. The torque required to rotate the screw conveyor is measured by a torque sensor on the screw shaft. With the help of this novel system, both conditioned clays and sands were tested with at variable pressures, flow rates, and different screw geometries. The results from their tests showed demonstrated that the undrained shear strengths of the conditioned soils have great effects on the pressure gradient in the screw conveyor, and the use of conditioning agents could can reduce the required torque.

Model tests have confirmed that the investigation of the shear strength of excavated soils at different strain rates and pressures is essential for improving EPB performance as well as saving controlling operation costs. However, the application of the model tests is limited due to its the complex testing process and the extremely huge demand large quantity of testing materials (soils and conditioning agents) required.

2.5.2.4 Rheometer tests testing

As a consequence of the limitations of these the aforementioned existing testing methods mentioned before, there is had been no standard test for investigating the shear strength and its the ancillary rate dependency of the conditioned soils. In order to determine the optimum conditioning treatments in EPB tunnelling, a pressurised soil rheometer is was developed by Meng *et al.* (2011).

It is shown in Figure 2.16 indicates that the main body of this rheometer is made up consists of a pressurised tank with a loading system, a torque sensor and a motor with two reducers. A vane-type impeller is was chosen in consideration of the shearing gap, the wall-slip effect and the disturbance to the soil samples occurring when the impeller is inserted into the soils. The form of the soil failure would will be a cylinder which depends on the geometric size of the vane impeller. and Furthermore, it is assumed that the shear stress is distributed on the top, bottom and lateral surface of the cylinder homogeneously. In addition, compressed air is

applied through a gasbag at the bottom of the tank, aiming to provide a comparable pressure in the working chamber of the EPB TBM. This device is capable of measuring the torque on the vane with at various controlled shear-strain rates. Sand samples were conditioned with foams and bentonite slurries and tested with confining pressures ranging from 0-500kPa. It was found from The testing results indicated that the conditioned sands followed the Bingham fluid model, and showed exhibiting shear-thinning properties of Non-Newtonian fluids, which meant meaning that the viscosity would will decrease with an increasing shear rate. Furthermore, the apparent viscosity and yield stress of the conditioned soils increased with the increase of in confining pressure. More specifically, the shear strength of the conditioned sands increased from 1.5kPa to 10.2kPa, and the apparent viscosity increases increased from 210kPa.s to 1250kPa.s when the confining pressure grows was increasing from 0kPa to 400kPa.

A similar vane-shear test apparatus is was developed by Messerklinger *et al.* (2012) in order to investigate the shear strength of conditioned clays at different pressures and shear velocities. The most significant difference between these two devices is the torque sensor is placed inside the soil container for the purpose of offering more accurate torque measurement by minimising machine the friction inside the machine. A series of tests on conditioned kaolinite and illite clays were was performed, and the results of which were compared to those from a commercially available rheometer to ensure their applicability.

Overall, these studies have provided good references for further research on the rheological properties of the conditioned soils under pressurised conditions.

2.6 Summary

A review of the literature relevant to the objectives of this study is has been presented in this chapter. Among the most widely used soil conditioners, such as the bentonite slurry, polymers and foams which are introduced in section 2.3, it seems

appears that foam would be a solution to most difficulties encountered during EPB tunneling. Since the main objective of conditioning treatments is to form a plastic soil flow in the EPB TBM, the rheological properties might be a remarkable factor affecting the EPB performance. Several testing methods for investigating the influence of soil conditioners on the properties of excavated soils are were reviewed in section 2.5. However, most of the existing approaches do not allow continuous observations on of the shear strength and its the ancillary shear rate dependency of the specimen. Inspired from Motivated by the successful applications of the rheometer on to the studying of concrete workability, researchers have developed newly designed soil rheometers are developed and used to for use in testing the rheological properties of both conditioned sands and clays under pressurised conditions. This new kind of new type of device could can provide a new an innovative method to evaluate for more comprehensively evaluating the efficiency of conditioning treatments more comprehensively. Further efforts might be required to establish relationships between the results from traditional testing methods and the results from findings obtained by rheometers, as well as to extend the applications of the new device to soils with coarser particles.

CHAPTER THREE

3. Index tests testing and apparatus design

3.1 Introduction

Due to so many the numerous factors affecting the performance of conditioning treatments, it would be is difficult to specify the best conditioning treatment for a particular soil. Proper conditioning agents and a suitable range of parameters for different kinds of soil are normally suggested according to practical experience. In the laboratory, index tests for both conditioning agents and conditioned soils are always required to determine satisfactory conditioning treatments.

A foam generator which was used for foamed concrete is was commissioned for generating to generate a foam solution in for EPB tunnelling. The effects of the operational parameters of the foam this generator on the basic properties of foams are discussed in this chapter. In addition, the results of from a few numbers of slump tests are performed examined to compare the overall behaviour of a sand-foam mixture. On the other hand In addition, a modified soil rheometer is proposed to investigate the rheological properties of sand foam the same mixture.

3.2 Index tests

The index tests performed in this study included FER tests, foam stability tests and slump tests. Details of the materials, laboratory apparatuses, testing methods and results are described in this section.

3.2.1 Soils

Leighton Buzzard sands have been used by Psomas (2001) and Peña (2007) to study the behaviour of conditioned sands in shear-box tests, compression tests, permeability tests and screw-conveyor model tests. Because of these the good favorable references provided by previous studies, Leighton Buzzard the same type of sands are used were chosen for use in this research as well. Generally, L.B. Leighton Buzzard (LB) sands has have more than 90% silica content, and has a are light brown in colour and have a sub-angular-to-rounded shape. Three different kinds of Leighton Buzzard LB sands have been bought, named designated L1, L2 and L3, were purchased and all of them are supplied by from Aggregate Industries. The grading curves of L1, L2 and L3 are shown plotted in Figures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. The product name of the L1 sand is fine sharp Leighton Buzzard sand LB, and the sizes of most of its particles of which (98.1%) are between 0.06mm and 2.00mm. Further treatments might can sometimes be required to sieve out the unexpected oversize particles (>2.00mm). L2 is a kind of coarse sand, originally named Garside 8/16 sand by the supplier. The size range of the L2 is 1.00mm to 2.00mm. Garside 16/30 is the original product name of the L3 sand, which has particle sizes between 0.5mm to 2.00mm. Table 3.1 shows lists the characteristic sizes of these three kinds of sand (d_{10} , d_{30} and d_{60}), the uniformity coefficients

($C_u = d_{60} / d_{10}$), the coefficients of curvature ($C_c = \frac{(d_{30})^2}{d_{10} \times d_{60}}$) and the specific gravity (G_s).

3.2.2 Soil conditioners

In the trial stage of this study, two kinds of foam agents and two polymers designed for EPB tunnelling are were ordered from the BASF chemical company. However, due to time limitations, only two foam agents have been were actually used in the foamindex tests and mixed with the sands.

3.2.2.1 Foam agents

MasterRoc SLF30 and MasterRoc SLF41 are were selected for this research, both of them which are especially designed for soil conditioning in shield-tunnelling boring machines. They Both agents are all liquid solutions based on anionic surfactants or glycols with polymer additives. Full details of the properties of these two agents

provided by the supplier are shown listed in Table 3.2, where F30 represents designates SLF30 and F41 represents signifies SLF41. Both agents could can be expanded with air to produce stable foams for injecting injection onto the face, into the working chamber or along the screw conveyor. The foam expansion ratio and the foam injection rate of the foam depends depend on the soil conditions encountered.

3.2.2.2 Polymer additives

As suggested recommended by the supplier, MasterRoc SLP 1 or MasterRoc SLP 2 polymers could can be used jointly used with F30 and F40 to enhance the stabilities of the foams or to adjust modify the properties of excavated soils. Table 3.3 shows lists the properties of the polymers, wherein P1 represents designates MasterRoc SLP 1 and P2 means signifies MasterRoc SLP 2. Both polymers are based on polyalkylene oxides, which have similar properties similar to PHPA polymers, and are used in liquid form. P1 is a lubricating polymer which is suggested recommended to for use under in difficult extenuating ground conditions situations with soils containing fine sand, silt or clay with high water content, where in which foam along alone is not adequate to modify the soil properties (BASF, 2013). In contrast, the soil-structuring polymer P2 is more suitable in coarse sands due to its good anti-segregation properties (BASF, 2013).

3.2.3 Foam generator

A laboratory foam generator (Figure 3.4) is was used to produce foam of proper qualities as having properties comparable to those generated in the EPB TBM. The product name of this foam generator machine is the Portafoam TM2 portable foaming generator, supplied by Malaysian company, the UEM Group (Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia). This device was designed to provide aqueous stable foam for foamed concrete and was previously used by another PhD student to investigate the indentation behaviour of fibre-reinforced foamed concrete. However, it is was found that foams used in EPB tunnelling could can also be produced by adjusting some certain initial setups. The foam generator consists of ⁴ four main parts: which are an air compressor, a pressure tank, a control box and a foam lancer, the detailed schematic of which is shown diagramed in Figure 3.5. The arrows on the lines show indicate the flow directions. It is mentioned in the instruction manual that the air flow and recharge rate from air of the compressor should be higher than exceed 450 liters/min to sustain proper operation of the foam generator. The flow of compressed air is controlled by V1. The compressed air is then mixed with a foam agent of certain concentration and water in the pressure tank, which has a volume of 50 liters volume and a maximum pressure capacity of 120 psi. V2 and R2 could adjust the pressure in the pressure tank, and V3 is opened when the foam solution is mixed sufficiently. The foam solution and compressed air are mixed before reaching the foam lancer with the help of the T-shaped inverter system, which has already been described in a previous study (Psomas, 2001). Finally, the foam solution is conditioned in the foam lancer to generate stable foams of relatively homogeneous bubble sizes.

One of the most important factors affecting the final properties of foams is the pressure within the pressure tank, which has to must be less than that of in the air line so that satisfactory mixing of liquid and air could can be achieved. Adjustment of the regulators, R1 and R2, could can result in a lower or higher pressure difference in pressure between pressure the tank and the air line. In Generally, a higher greater pressure difference in pressure difference in pressure difference in pressure between pressure would leads to a lower foam density, thus a higher larger FER.

3.2.4 Soil mixer

The mixing process of mixing sand and foam is achieved was accomplished by using a standard Mortar* mixer (Figure 3.6) supplied by the Controls Group. This robust mixer is capable of mixing 5 liters of sand-foam mixture and provide at two beater speeds: 140 and 285 r.p.m. Whether the mixing is sufficient or not is simply observed determined by eyes visual observation. Generally, a one-minute mixing at 140 r.p.m.

is adequate for producing a homogeneous mixture. In order to form enough volume of the sand-foam mixture for both slump tests and further rheometer tests testing, two same identical Mortar* mixers are were prepared. In addition, a concrete mixer of much more greater capacity would be [was/should be]** available if difficulties [are/had been]** encountered when during the concurrent usage of two Mortar* mixers are used at the same time. * [See Editor's Note @ Fig 3.6]

******Choose <u>one</u> pair: **'was'** followed by **'had been' <u>or</u> 'should be'** followed by **'are'**, depending on whether you are offering a suggestion or describing part of your own procedure.

3.2.5 Foam index testing

In order to investigate the effects of generation parameters on foam properties as well as measure the properties of foams of different agents and concentrations, foam index tests are were performed with simple measuring methods.

3.2.5.1 Testing methods

According to the basic idea of In general accordance with the testing methods described in the Ministry of Defence Standard (1998), the FER and foam stability are were measured in by an easier way procedure in this study. A plastic container of having an exact volume of 1 liter is was used to collect fresh foam produced by foam the generator; and then, the weight of the container with foam is was subsequently measured weighed. Therefore Thus, the FER is was calculated from by Eqn 2.10.

The equipment used to measure the foam drainage time, is shown pictured in Figure 3.7, which is simply made up consisted of a plastic bucket with a hole at its in the bottom, a sieve of with 150 μ m opening apertures size and a glassware beaker with calibrations. The fresh foam is was collected in the bucket which is placed on the sieve. Then, the liquid weight of the foam could be calculated from the volume (5.9 liters) and weight of the foams in the bucket. A filter paper is added was inserted between the bucket and the sieve because the foam diameter reported in previous

studies is was smaller than the opening size apertures of the sieve used in the present study. The time required for collecting 25% and 50% of the total weight of the foam solution in the glassware is was recorded as t_{25} and t_{50} , respectively.

3.2.5.2 Testing results

Since the laboratory foam generator was not designed for soil conditioning in EPB tunnelling, a series of tests were was performed to find out determine the best operational settings for producing a sand-foam mixture. The FER and stability of the F30 foam agents were measured under different tank pressures and pressure gaps between the pressure tank and air line. At least eight tests were done conducted for each tank- pressure and air-line pressure combination in order to provide obtain reliable information. The results data listed in Table 3.4 clearly show indicate that both the FER and drainage time increase with an increase in the pressure gap, but the increase slow down decelerates when the pressure is higher greater than 20 psi. More obvious increasing trends of in the FER and drainage time could can be observed in Figures 3.8 and Figure 3.9, wherein the FER becomes quite stable between 23 and 27 when the pressure difference reaches 20 psi; and moreover, the half-life time of the foams is higher greater than 400 seconds when a 15 psi pressure gap is set. Moreover Furthermore, the influence of the pressures in the tank could can also be observed from these results. Generally, a higher pressure in the tank would results in a greater value of the FER and a longer drainage time, and this difference tends to be eliminated with the an increase in the pressure gap. Therefore, it might be concluded that the pressure gap is the most important operational parameter affecting the foam properties.

On the other hand However, the influences of concentration and type of foam were also investigated in this study. As the data shown listed in Table 3.5 indicate, an increase in foam concentration would leads to increased FER and greater stability. By comparing the foam properties of F30 and F41, it is noticed observed that F30 could can produce a foam of with a higher FER. This is occurs mainly because of the different types of surfactants and the polymer additives used in the foam agents.

The suitability of the foam as a soil conditioner is basically determined from FER and stability. According to previous studies (Quebaud *et al.,* 1998; EFNARC, 2005), an FER of 5 to 30 and stability of longer than 5 minutes are commonly considered adequate for tunnelling applications. The specific requirements of foam properties depend on in-situ ground conditions. For example, **a** high stability of foam is needed in foams when the cutting circles are delayed in order to sustain continuous conditioning on excavated soils.

3.2.6 Slump tests

The sand-foam mixture of F41 and L1 is was tested to evaluate the overall behaviour of conditioned sand as well as to compare its plastic fluidity with those the results from obtained in previous research. Because there was no standard slump-testing apparatus was currently available at that moment, a the Vee Bee consistometer pictured in Figure 3.10 is was commissioned for the trials, This apparatus is used for consisting of concrete slump tests and vibration tests. and a picture of it is shown in Figure 3.10. The This consistometer comprises consisted of a slump cone with a hopper, a plastic plate attached to a graduated rod, a specimen container fixed on a vibrating table which has having a fixed amplitude and frequency of vibration. Only the upper parts, including the slump cone, cylindrical container and graduated rod, are were used in this study. A freshly produced sand-foam mixture were was poured into the slump cone that is placed in the container. After compacting the mixture was compacted by a tamping rod, the slump cone was raised the vertical distance between the original and the displaced positions of the top surface of the sand-foam. The mixture was then measured and recorded as the slump value.

A foam injection ratio (FIR) is was used to determine the volume of foam required to condition the soils, and it is being calculated by

$$FIR = 100\% \times V_f / V_s$$
 Eqn 3.1

where V_f is the volume of foam, and V_s , is the volume of excavated soils. The guidelines published by EFNARC (2005) It is suggested in the guideline of EFNARC-(2005) recommend that the FIR should be around 30% to 60%. In this study, F41 foams were produced at 40 psi tank pressure and 60 psi air-line pressure and were mixed with L1 at a FIR of 50%. Four tests were conducted, one of the typical test results from a typical one of which is shown pictured in Figure 3.11. All mixtures tested had slump values higher greater than 150mm and exhibited behaviours characteristic of plastic fluids. However, the exact slump value could not be measured because the casing of the specimen container stopped restrained the sand-foam mixture from free movement. As a result Consequently, a standard slump cone apparatus will be used in further studies.

3.3 Design of <mark>a</mark> proposed soil rheometer

Inspired from by the newly developed soil rheometers recently developed by Meng et al. (2011) and Messerklinger et al. (2011), a soil rheometer which could can be applied to more coarser soils is proposed in this study (Figure 3.12). This apparatus is basically composed of a specimen container where the soil samples are pressurised and rotated by an impeller, a torque sensor which is able to measure the torque required to spin the impeller, and a stepper motor providing various constant speeds.

3.3.1 Vane geometry

Firstly, a vane-type impeller is was selected according to in accordance with previous research (Koehler and Fowler, 2004; Meng *et al.*, 2011; Estelle and Lanos, 2012). It is believed that vane this type impeller has two major advantages: (1) the structure of the soil sample would will be less distributed by the vane entry, which is important for structured and granular suspensions; and (2) the wall slippage would will be

reduced. However, there is since no standard design criteria exist for vanes used in testing the rheological properties of sands, the geometric size of the proposed vane was determined according to in accordance with the standard tests methods for vane shear field-testing vane shear test in cohesive soil (ASTM D2573).

Some The basic requirements for vane geometry includes the following: The Vane diameter D: 35 to 100 mm; The vane Shaft diameter d: 12.5 to 16.5 mm; Vane height H: $D \le H \le 2.5D$; The maximum blade thickness e should be less than 3 mm.

In addition, the vane area ratio V_A must be less than 12% to ensure a minimised soil disturbance during insertion. The V_A is calculated by

$$V_{A} = \frac{8(D-d)e + \pi d^{2}}{\pi D^{2}}$$
 Eqn 3.2

The final vane geometry, which is shown enumerated in Table 3.6, is represents a compromise between the maximum size of the sand particles and the range of torque measurements, which increases with an increasing vane diameter.

3.3.2 Specimen container

A sand-foam mixture should be tested in a sealed container which allows for an undrained condition. Soil rheology presents several unique challenges due to the large particle size of coarse aggregates.

One of the main problems relating related to the specimen container of on the soil rheometer is the gap size of the gap between the vane and the casing of the container. A sufficient gap size would will lead to a steady particle-packing density near the container wall. In general rules for a rheometer, it is suggested by Ferraris (1999) recommended that the gap size should be at least ten times the maximum particle size. For sand samples with a maximum particle size of 2mm, a 20mm gap would be required; therefore, the diameter of the soil container would should be at least 90mm.

Two spare consolidation cells from ELE International were stacked and used for the specimen container (Figure 3.13), the product name for the cell of which is an EL25-0705 Rowe-type consolidation cell, with a sample diameter of 151.4mm and a sample height of 50mm. A hole was cut at the bottom of the cell for the shaft of the vane impeller. The Undrained conditions for soil samples are available achievable with proper sealing at the hole, and the required pressure required is being readily applied to the samples when the laboratory-compressed air system is connected to the top of the cell. The working pressure of the EPB TBM varies from case to case, but is generally being around 200 kPa. These cells have a maximum working pressure of 1000 kPa and although the working pressure in this study might go up rise to only 500 kPa.

3.3.3 Torque sensor and stepper motor

In order to select proper an appropriate torque sensor which is able to capable of accurately measure measuring the accurate torque under pressurised conditions, the measuring range of torque the sensor was first considered at first. According to Meng *et al.* (2011), the peak undrained shear strength of conditioned sands is about 34 kPa with a confining pressure of 400 kPa and vane speed of 1/3.4 rpm. On the other hand However, it is noted in ASTM D2573 has reported that the value of measured torque T_m corrected for apparatus and rod friction is calculated by

$$T_m = \frac{7\pi D^2 H S_u}{12}$$
 Eqn 3.3

where S_u is the undrained shear strength from the vane. By applying S_u = 34 kPa, D = 50 mm and H = 50 mm to Eqn 3.3, a maximum T of 7.8 Nm is required for the torque sensor.

Considering that this device might be used for further research on soils with larger particle sizes, the present researcher purchased a 4520 A100 torque sensor from the KISTLER company was bought. The measuring range of this torque sensor is 100 Nm, and the linearity error is within $\pm 0.5\%$, which results in delivering an accuracy of ± 0.5 Nm.

It is believed that, in laboratory research, the stepper motor could can provide better control of the rotating rotation rate in laboratory research. The rotational speed of the stepper motor rotation is directly related to the frequency of the input pulse, and the degree of rotation is being directly related to the number of input pulses applied. Therefore, a wide range of rotational speeds could can be achieved and controlled. In this study, an AR911ACD-PLE80-20 type, purchased from the Oriental Motor company, is was selected. This type of motor could can provide a maximum speed of 7000 rpm and a nominal maximum output torque of 120 Nm in correspondence with the maximum measuring capabilities torque of the selected torque sensor. In addition, a data acquisition system would should be connected to both the torque sensor and the stepper motor to conduct data collecting collection and analysis.

3.3.4 Overall design

The design of the soil rheometer was done prepared by SolidWorks, a picture of the overall design is shown as illustrated in Figure 3.14. Parts of the rheometer could can be simply connected by connecting joining the couplings from shaft to shaft. and A supporting base is also needed to provide stable working conditions. Extensive

41

apparatuses, like such as those for data acquisition and voltage supply and as well as a PC, are were available in the laboratory.

3.4 Summary

In this chapter, the materials and laboratory apparatuses used are have been introduced. The methods for investigating the index properties of foams and sand-foam mixtures and the design of a new soil rheometer are have been described. Some results of the trials are summarised below.

The foam-index tests showed indicated that the pressure gap between pressure the tank and the air line had great effects on the expansion ratio and stability. The properties of foam could can also be varies vary from due to different types of agents and the foam concentrations. Generally, an FER of 20-30 and a drainage time of at least 5 minutes is are recommended.

The results from the slump tests illustrated demonstrated that the sand-foam mixture had great favourable plastic-flow properties with slump values over exceeding 150 mm, although a wrong an incorrect device was used, which in turn probably resulted in lower slump values. More Additional slump tests with a standard slump cone would be required to verify the results.

At last Finally, a new soil rheometer was has been proposed and details of the main parts thereof of the rheometer were given presented. It is expected that to use this device can be used to determine the rheological parameters of sand-foam mixtures, which works functioning on the principle of measuring the undrained shear stress on a vane impeller with a controlled strain rate.

CHAPTER FOUR

4. Plan for future work research

The proposed plan of work for research during the remaining duration remainder of this PhD study program is given outlined in Table 4.1, consisting of There are mainly 6 works six main projects which needed to should be done completed within 3 three years.

The first one step is to use a standard slump cone to evaluate the plastic behaviour of a sand-foam mixture in order to create a systematic diagram of the effects of sand types and agent types as well as the FER and FIR on the rheological properties of the sand-foam mixture. Meanwhile, a microscopic study on the foam and sand-foam mixture will be started at the beginning of the next period of study term. It is believed that the this investigation on the microstructure of a sand-foam mixture and the decay process of the foam in the mixture can provide a better understanding on of the fundamental mechanism of as to how the foam is affecting affects the properties of excavated soils. Since the new rheometer is expected to be available before the middle of next year, a study on the rheological properties of a sand-foam mixture will be started initiated in June and last continue for approximately 2 eight months. By the end of next year, a relationship between the results from both the rheometer tests and those from the slump tests will be developed analysed so that the intuitive behaviour (slump value) can be related to the abstract one (viscosity). However, the reliability of the results from the rheometer tests need to must be verified by some comparative tests. A modified pipe viscometer used for measuring the viscosity of ice cream is supposed to be reportedly capable of applying on being applied to a sand-foam mixture; and therefore, the comparative tests will be conducted with the help of it this device. Once the validation of the rheometer is successful, it will be modified to for adapt to measuring coarser soils (gravelly sands) in order to extend the application of the rheometer test as well as to investigate the influences of foam on gravelly sands. At last Finally, writing of the PhD thesis writing will be started undertaken after finishing all laboratory work has been finished. and Completion of the final draft is expected to be finished in within the following a nine to 12 months.

CHAPTER FIVE

5. Conclusion

This report has presented the works research that the author has done in during the first year of PhD study, including a comprehensive literature review on the background of EPB tunnelling and the current research of on conditioned soils, the methods and results of the index tests on foams and sand-foam mixtures, and a simple description of the a specially designed soil rheometer.

The great remarkable influences of the soil conditioners on the soil properties are well known by researchers, and some of whom have proffered general guidelines for conditioning treatments for different various soils are provided. However, problems are still encountered during tunnelling because the testing methods for determining conditioning treatments cannot be easily applied in practice, for example, the screw-conveyor model tests. Slump tests testing are is the most used in situ testing method because of its simplicity and rapid testing process; but however, only control parameters could can be gained obtained from slump tests, which might mislead the decisions of concerning conditioning treatments.

In order to investigate the detailed rheological properties of conditioned soils, a modified rheometer might be more efficient than slump tests. In recent years the rheometer tests have already been applied to concrete, clays conditioned with foams and polymers, and as well as sands conditioned with foams and bentonite slurries. Thus, it is believed that the application of the rheometer could can be extended to gravelly sand-foam mixtures.

Foam index tests were first conducted at first by using a laboratory foam generator. From the results shown presented in Chapter 3, several factors that affecting the FER and stability of foams could can be noticed: Increased tank pressure, pressure the gap between tank pressure and air-line pressure and foam concentration would will all lead to increasing FER and stability. Furthermore, the different properties of the foams generated from F30 and F41 implied imply that the composition of foam agents also affects the performance of coil soil conditioning, even if they were supplied from by only one company. A few slump tests were presented conducted, in which good favorable properties of a sand-foam mixture for forming a plastic flow were observed. Although the apparatus used for slump tests prevented the mixture from free slump, the slump value was still higher greater than 150 mm, which was considered to be suitable for EPB tunnelling.

A torque sensor and a stepper motor, along with two spare Rowe cells, were used to build construct a soil rheometer for investigating the rheological properties of a sand-foam mixture. A vane-type impeller was selected, and the geometry of it which was determined according to in accordance with previous research and relevant standards in prescribed by ASTM D2573. Furthermore, the measuring range of the torque sensor and the technical parameters of the stepper motor were chosen in accordance with the vane geometry, with an aiming to provide reliable measurements on of the sand-foam and gravelly sand-foam mixtures.

Up to now To date, the new soil rheometer is still under assembling process being assembled in a laboratory workshop. Upon completion, further research will be conducted with the help of this new soil rheometer apparatus, and essential comparative tests will be required to validate the reliability of the data from obtained with this the device.

References

Andrade, E.N.daC. (1943) 'Theory of viscosity of liquids', *Philosophical Magazing Magazine*, 17, pp. 698-732.

Barnes, 2000. *A handbook of elementary rheology*. University of Wales, Aberystwyth, Wales.

Babendererde, L.H. (1998) 'Developments in polymer application for soil conditioning in EPB-TEMs', in Negro Jr, A. and Ferreira, A.A. (eds.) *Tunnels and Metropolises*. Balkema, Rotterdam, Vol.2, pp. 691-695.

BASF, (2013) *Technologies - TBM*. Available at: www.master-builders-solutions.basf.com/en-basf/products/masterroc/tbm (Accessed: 28 November 2014).

Cristescu, N. (1989) Rock Rheology. Kluwer Academic Publications, Boston.

Day, P.R. and Holmgren, G. (1952) 'Microscopic change in soil structure during compression', *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings*. 16(1), pp. 73-77.

EFNARC, (2005) *Specifications and guidelines for the use of specialist products for mechanised tunnelling*. European Federation for Specialist Construction Chemicals and Concrete Systems.

Ghavami, M., Keller, J. and Dunn, I.S. (1974) 'Predicting soil density following irrigation', *Trans. ASAE*, 17, pp. 166-171.

Ghezzehei, T.A. and Or. D. (2001) 'Rheological properties of wet soils and clays under steady and oscillatory stresses', *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 65, pp. 624-637.

Haghighi, K., Srivastava, A.K. and Steffe, J.F. (1987) 'The rheological approach to soil modeling', *Trans. ASAE*, 30, pp. 1661-1672.

Herrenknecht, M. (2004) 'EPB or Slurry machine: The choice', *Tunnels & Tunnelling,* June, pp. 35-36.

James, F.S. (1996) *Rheological Methods in Food Process Engineering*. Freeman Press, USA.

Jefferis, S.A. (1992) 'Grouts and Slurries', in Doran, D. and Cather, B. (eds.) *Construction Materials Reference Book.* Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, pp. 166-194. Jefferis, S.A. (1995) 'Water-soluble polymers in tunnelling and slurry supported excavations', In Kennedy, J.F., Phillips, G.O. and William, P.A. (eds) *Cellulose and Cellulose Derivatives: Physico-chemical aspects and industrial applications.* Woodhead Publishing Ltd, Camberdge.

Koehler, E.P. and Fowler, D.W. (2004) *Development of a portable rheometer for fresh Portland cement concrete*. International Center for Aggregates Research, Texas.

Kusakabe, O., Nomoto, T., and Imamura, S. (1999) 'Geotechnical criteria for selecting a mechanized tunnel system and DMM for tunnelling', *Proceedings of 14th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Balkema, Rotterdam. Vol.4, pp. 2439-2440.

Langmaack, L. (2000) 'Advanced technology of soil conditioning in EPB shield tunnelling', *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 525-542.

Langmaack, L. and Zamecnik, M. (2009) 'Advanced technology of soil conditioning for a tunnel boring machine (TBM)' *Tunnel*, 18, 28-33.

Lambe, T.W. (1953) 'The effect of polymers on soil properties', *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Engng., Zurich. Vol.1, pp. 253-257.

Leinala, T., Delmar, R., Collins, J.R., Pennington, B.N. and Grabinsky, M. (1999) 'Soil conditioning for enhanced TBM performance in differing ground conditions'. *52nd Canadian Geotechnical Conference*. Regina. pp. 537-544.

Liu, D. (2012) *The appraisal of improving the soil condition of EPBS with new foam*. Master's Thesis, China University of Geosciences.

Maidl, U., Herrenknecht, M. and Anheuser, L. (1996) *Mechanised shield tunnelling*. Ernst and Sohn, Berlin.

Meng, Q., Qu, F. and Li, S. (2011) 'Experimental investigation on viscoplastic parameters of conditioned sands in earth pressure balance shield tunnelling', *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 25(9), PP. 2259-2266.

Merritt, A.S. (2004) *Conditioning of clay soil for tunnelling machine screw conveyors*. PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge.

Messerklinger, S., Zumsteg, R. and Puzrin, A.M. (2011) 'A new pressurized vane shear apparatus', *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 34(2), pp. 1-9.

Milligan, G. (2000) *Lubrication and Soil conditioning in tunnelling, pipe jacking and microtunnelling*. Geotechnical Consulting Group.

Ministry of Defence Standard 42-40, (1998) Foam liquids, fire extinguishing. Issue 1.

NFM Technologies, (2007) *Soft ground machines*. Available at: <u>www.nfm-technologies.com/-Soft-ground-machines-.html</u> (Accessed: 19 November 2014).

Psomas, S.(2001) *Properties of foam/sand mixtures for tunnelling applications*. Master's Dissertation, University of Oxford.

Peila, D., Oggeri, C. and Borio, C. (2009) 'Using the slump test to assess the behaviour of conditioned soil for EPB tunnelling', *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 15, pp. 167-174.

Quebaud, S., Sibai, M. and Henry, J. P. (1998) ' Use of chemical foam for improvements in drilling by an Earth-Pressure Balanced Shield in granular soils', *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 13, pp. 173-180.

Steiner, W. (1996) 'Criteria for selecting mechanized tunnelling systems in soft ground'. *Proceedings of the North American Tunnelling 96*. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 483-491. [Is there a missing word which should follow '*Tunnelling*'?]

Tattersall, G.H. and Banfill, P.F.G. (1983) *The Rheology of Fresh Concrete*. Pitman Publishing Inc., Boston.

Thewes, M. and Budach, C. (2010) 'Soil conditioning with foam during EPB tunnelling', *Geomechanics and Tunelling*, 3, pp. 256-267.

Zumsteg, R., Plötze, M. and Puzrin, A. (2013) 'Reduction of the clogging potential of clays: New chemical applications and novel quantification approaches', *Géotechnique*, 63(4), pp. 274-286.

Tables

	'	EDBM with out	EPBM	
Soil type			with	
		auditives	additives	
Alluvial	Silt and clay	Y	Υ	
cohesive soil	Sandy silt,	v	v	
	sandy clay	I	I	
Deistocene	Loam and clay	Y	Υ	
cohesive soil	Sandy loam,	N	v	
conesive son	sandy clay		1	
	Sand with silty	N	v	
	clay		1	
Sandy soil	Loose sandy	N	v	
Sandy Son	soil		'	
	Consolidated	N	v	
	sand		1	
	Loose gravel	N	γ	
	Consolidated	N	v	
Gravel with	gravel		1	
boulders	Gravel with	N	v	
	boulders		1	
	Boulder	N	N	
	gravel,		IN	

Table 2.1 Geotechnical selection table for EPB TEM (from Kusakabe et al, 1999).

Soil turned	Soil types Foam types FIR		FID	Debumer edditives	
Soll types	Α	В	С	ГІК	Polymer additives
Clay	+			30-80	Anti-clogging polymer
Sandy clay - silt	+	+		40-60	Anti-clogging polymer
Sandy clayey silt		+		20-40	Polymer for consistency
Sand		+	+	30-40	Polymer for cohesiveness and consistency control
Clayey			1	25 50	Polymer for cohesiveness
gravels			Ŧ	25-50	and consistency control
Sandy			+	20.60	Polymer for cohesiveness
gravels			Г	30-00	and consistency control

Table 2.2 Choice of foam types according to soil types (EFNARC, 2005).

Fluid model	n	σ ₀
Herschel-Bulkley	0 < n <∞	> 0
Newtonian	1	0
shear-thinning	0 < <i>n</i> < 1	0
shear-thickening	1< <i>n</i> < ∞	0
Binghan plastic	1	> 0

Table 2.3 Newtonian, Power Law and Bingham Plastic fluids as special cases of the

Herschel-Bulkley Model

Foam	Concentration	Air flow rate	EED	t25	t50
agent	(%)	(Nm ³ /h)	FER	(h:m:s)	(h:m:s)
	1.5	2.1	17.9	0:14:44	0:29:20
	1.5	3.5	26.3	0:20:30	0:38:15
F4	2.0	2.1	21.1	0:21:10	0:42:00
	2.0	3.5	27.2	0:24:12	0:44:30
	5.0	2.1	27.7	0:33:30	1:02:00
	2.5	2.1	7.7	0:04:45	0:11:30
		5.2	10.8	0:12:30	0:25:30
F4 TM		2.1	9.5	0:13:10	0:26:30
	5.0	3.5	12.9	0:17:45	0:33:54
		5.2	15. 6	0:16:48	0:31:55
F4 L	5.0	2.1	6.5	-	-
1.2	2.0	5.2	9.0	0:07:10	0:14:50
SLE30	3.0	2.1	13.9	0:04:32	0:06:45
01100	0.0	5.2	21.3	0:05:48	0:08:05
	1.0	2.1	12.8	0:04:04	0:06:10
		3.5	16.8	0:05:54	0:08:36
TR	1.5	2.1	16.4	0:05:38	0:08:06
	3.5	2.1	15.6	0:05:38	0:07:59
	0.0	3.5	23.7	0:07:00	0:09:35
	2.5	2.1	9.9	0:03:01	0:05:04
EC	EC 2.5	3.5	11.0	0:04:10	0:06:27
	4.0	2.1	13.8	0:04:55	0:07:10
	1.0	2.1	16.4	0:05:10	0:07:55
T -7	2.0	1.5	15.5	0:04:06	0:06:05
	2.0	2.1	22.9	0:04:55	0:07:10

Table 2.4 Foam index tests (Merritt, 2004)

Size range (µm)	Interval distribution (%)	Cumulative distribution (%)
10.00 - 21.67	0.02	0. 02
21.67 - 33.33	0.15	0.17
33. 33 - 45. 00	0.31	0.48
45.00 - 56.67	0.91	1.4
56.67 - 68.33	3.11	4. 51
68.33 - 80.00	15.74	20.25
80.00 - 91.67	24.24	44.48
91.67 - 103.33	29.27	73.75
103.33 - 115.00	17.42	91.17
115.00 - 126.67	5.53	96. 7
126.67 - 138.33	2.41	99. 1
138.33 - 150.00	0.9	100

Table 2.5 Size distribution of the foam (Yang & Wang, 2012)

Sand	<i>d</i> 10(mm)	<i>d₃₀</i> (mm)	<i>d₆₀</i> (mm)	Uc	C _c	Gs
L1	0.26	0.4	0.55	2.12	1.12	
L2	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.33	1.02	2.65
L3	0.6	0.67	0.78	1.30	0.96	

Table 3.1 Sand Characteristic parameters of sands

Foam agents	F30	F41		
		Silt to sand soils which may		
Field of application	Soft ground	contain a high amount		
		concentration of water		
	1. Improved soil behavio	our		
	2. Easier mucking			
	3. Reduced permeability and increased sealing at face			
Features and benefits	4. Creation of plastic deformation proper			
	soil			
	5. Lower inner friction and lower abrasiveness			
	6. Reduced stickiness in certain soils			
Density(kg/m ³ ;20°C)	1035 - 1045 1035 - 1045			
pH (3% solution; 20°C)	6.5 - 7.5 6.5 - 7.5			
Recommended concentration	1.5% - 4% 2% - 6%			

Table 3.2 Properties of F30 and F40 agents

Polymers	P1	P2	
Field of application	Fine sand, silt or clay with high water content	High ground water pressures, poorly graded or ground containing low amounts of fine particles, and bentonite slurry modification	
Features and benefits	 Reduced permeability and increased sealing at the face Increases cohesion of coarse, clean sands and gravels Strengthens viscosifying effect Helps the formation of the plug 	 Structuring the soil, particularly in coarse, clean sands and gravels Reducing soil permeability Creation of plastic deformation properties Lowering the inner friction and abrasiveness of the soil Water soaking and swelling effect Improves the yield and filter cake properties of bentonite 	
Density(kg/m3;20°C)	1000	900 - 950	
pH (3% solution; 20°C)	6.5 - 7.5	8.5 - 10.5	
Recommended concentration	0.3% - 3%	0.3% - 3%	

Table 3.3 Propert	ies of P1 and	P2 polymers
		po.,

<u>Editor's Note</u>, applicable to all table and figure captions and contents, as well as sectional headers: It is both permissible and desirable, for the sake of brevity, to use headline grammar in such contexts. Headline grammar omits the definite article [*the*] which would be required when the same wording is used in the main text of the document.

Foam agent	Concen- tration (%)	Volume of solution (L)	Pressure in tank (psi)	Pressure in air line (psi)	Average FER	Average t ₂₅ (s)	Average t ₅₀ (s)
			30	30	8.4	172	305
			30	35	13.2	202	339
			30	40	16.1	212	345
			30	45	20	258	385
	F30 2 10		30	50	23.6	294	427
		30	60	27.6	316	453	
			40	40	16.7	229	326
			40	45	22.1	249	336
E20		10	40	50	24.0	283	363
F30		10	40	55	26.6	294	422
			40	60	27.9	314	439
			40	70	28.5	330	443
			50	50	20.7	257	382
			50	55	22.9	264	398
			50 60	60	25.2	279	410
			50	65	27.0	293	428
			50	70	27.8	302	438
			50	80	28.5	319	440

Table 3.4 The Effects of pressure differences on foam properties

Foam agent	Concen- tration (%)	Volume of solution (L)	Pressure in tank (psi)	Pressure in air line (psi)	Average FER	Average t ₂₅ (s)	Average t ₅₀ (s)
			40	40	16.7	229	326
			40	45	22.1	249	336
E20	2	10	40	50	24.0	283	363
F30	F3U Z		40	55	26.6	294	422
			40	60	27.9	314	439
			40	70	28.5	330	443
		10	40	40	9.2	204	290
			40	45	11.8	230	329
E41	2		40	50	16.3	253	350
		40	60	18.6	269	404	
			40	70	19.6	281	415
	3		40	60	24.6	267	420

Table 3.5 The Effects of concentration and foam types on foam properties

Туре	D(mm)	<i>d</i> (mm)	<i>e</i> (mm)	H(mm)	V _A (%)
Rectangular	50	12.5	1	50	10.1

	15-Jun	15-Dec	16-Jun	16-Dec	17-Jun	17-Dec
1. Slump tests on sand-foam mixture						
2. Microscopic study of sand-foam mixture						
 Study on rheological properties of sand-foam mixture using new rheometer 						
 Comparison the results from new rheometer with those from commercially available rheometer 						
5. Application of the new rheometer on coarser soils (gravel)						
6. Thesis writing						

Table 3.6 The	Geometry	of vane

Table 4.1 Working Projected research plan

Revise Table 4.1 as follows:

- 4. Comparison of results from new rheometer with those from commercially available device
- 5. Application of new rheometer to coarser soils (gravel)

Figures



Figure 2.1 Brief structure of slurry TBM (NFM Technologies, 2007)



Figure 2.2 Brief structure of EPB TBM (NFM Technologies, 2007)



Figure 2.3 Structure and mechanism of surfactant



Figure 2.4 Applications of soil conditioning according to particle size distribution (Maidl et al., 1996)



Figure 2.5 Fluid between parallel plates



Figure 2.6 Flow curves for typical fluids



Figure 2.7 Glass capillary



Figure 2.8 Brookfield RST rheometer



Figure 2.9 Apparatus for measuring expansion ratio and stability



Figure 2.10 Image of Foam bubbles without external pressure (Peña Duarte, 2007)



Figure 2.11 Image of Foam bubbles with constant pressure (Peña Duarte, 2007)



Figure 2.12 Image of Sand-foam mixture (Peña Duarte, 2007)



Figure 2.13 BT-1600 image particle size analysis analytical system (Liu, 2012)



Figure 2.14 Behaviours of conditioned soils in slump tests (Peila et al., 2009) Revise: "No creation of

the paste" 3 times. (Delete the definite article.)



Figure 2.15 Model of EPB screw conveyor system model (Merritt, 2004)


Figure 2.16 Structure of soil rheometer (Meng et al., 2011)



Figure 3.1 Grading curve for L1 sands [Revise 'Pass' to 'Passing'.]



Figure 3.2 Grading curve for L2 sands [Revise 'Pass' to 'Passing'.]



Figure 3.3 Grading curve for L3 sands [Revise 'Pass' to 'Passing'.]



Figure 3.4 Laboratory foam generator



Figure 3.5 Schematic of Portafoam TM2



Figure 3.6 Standard <mark>M</mark>ortar mixer

<u>Editor's Note</u>: Do not capitalize 'Mortar' unless this word is a brand name.



Figure 3.7 Simple equipment for testing foam stability







Figure 3.9 The Influence of pressure differences on foam stability



Figure 3.10 Vee Bee consistometer



Figure 3.11 Typical slump test result for sand-foam mixture



Figure 3.12 Brief structure of proposed soil rheometer



Figure 3.13 ELE Rowe-type consolidation cell



Figure 3.14 Soil rheometer designed by SolidWorks design of soil rheometer